

REFERRAL RESPONSE SUMMARY

Area 'F' Advisory Planning Commission	APC recommended approval.
Interior Health Authority	<p>October 30, 2015 - Interior Health does not recommend the proposal unless it can be shown that the lot is sustainable for onsite water and onsite sewerage. This recommendation is based on the information provided within the referral, Interior Health's assessment is to determine if the proposal is in compliance with BC Regulation 326/2004, Sewerage System Regulation, BC Regulation 262/70, and the BC Drinking Water Protection Act and BC Drinking Water Regulation. The applicant should provide documentation on both the community water supply system and the community onsite sewerage system.</p> <p>June 17, 2016 - The engineering approval through Victoria is a good start however a community water supply system does not exist at this site. Our office has no information about this parcel therefore they would need to comply with the Drinking Water Protection Act and Regulation. Their Small Water Environmental Health officer has been cc'd on this e-mail.</p> <p>The subdivision in 1978 seems to provide no information regarding the community sewer system. The owners would be required to obtain the services of an authorized person to demonstrate that the existing onsite sewerage disposal is in compliance with the existing development along with performance test to ensure that the onsite sewerage system is capable of functioning as designed.</p>
Ministry of Forests Lands and Natural Resource Operations – Archaeology Branch	Provincial records indicate that there are no known archaeological sites recorded on the subject property. Given the proximity to the lakeshore there is the potential for unknown archaeological sites to exist on the property. Archaeological sites (both recorded and unrecorded) are protected under the Heritage Conservation Act and must not be altered or damaged without a permit from the Archaeology Branch. If any land-altering development is planned for the property, owners and operators should be notified that if an archaeological site is encountered during development, activities must be halted and the Archaeology Branch.
Ministry of Environment – Ecosystems Branch	No response.
Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations – Water Branch	No response.
Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure	The proposal is further than 800 m from a Controlled Access Highway, and therefore does not require the endorsement of this Ministry, as outlined in Section 52(3)(a) of the Transportation Act. The Ministry's interests are unaffected.
CSRD Operations Management	<p><u>Team Leader Utilities</u> No concerns.</p> <p><u>Regional Fire Chief</u> – No concerns.</p> <p><u>Team Leader Environmental Health</u> – No concerns.</p>

	<u>Community Parks and Recreation Operator</u> – No concerns for Parks. <u>Manager Operations Management</u> - No concerns.
School District #83	Interests unaffected by Bylaw.
CSRD Financial Services	Interests unaffected by Bylaw.
Adams Lake Indian Band	No response.
Coldwater Indian Band	No response.
Cooks Ferry Indian Band	No response.
Esh-kn-am Cultural Resources Management Services	No response.
Lower Similkameen Indian Band	No response.
Neskonlith Indian Band	No response.
Nlaka'pamux Nation Tribal Council	No response.
Okanagan Indian Band	No response.
Okanagan Nation Alliance	No response.
Penticton Indian Band	No response.
Siska Indian Band	No response.
Splats'in First Nation	No response.
Simpcw First Nation	No concerns with the proposed bylaw change and does not oppose this referral.