

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<b><u>ELECTORAL AREA 'A'</u></b>	
<p>Hello. I am a very concerned citizen. I am a farmer/rancher. I provide jobs and local food to the economy. We are a growing farm despite the odds and uphill battle. This by-law is very grey for farmers. It allows fines and further measures to harass farmers without awareness of the true circumstances of farming. For thousands of years, farmers have been using Livestock Guardian Dogs to not only protect flocks but also to protect wildlife as with LGD's (livestock guardian dogs) conflicts with farms are brought to virtually zero, This preserves the life of top predators that have been destroyed because of conflicts. The down side is LGD's bark at night when required. It is not unreasonable barking and it serves its purpose. Anyone in my area that has livestock will have deadstock in short order with out an LGD - this has been proven over and over. No amount of fencing, electric fencing or any other deterrent works. I believe the noise bylaw ultimately does not make better neighbors and should not proceed. However if it is to proceed, very clearly LGD's should be exempt 100% from this bylaw. We could not have a farm without them, it's that simple. I have seen neighbours entire flocks and herds wiped out on a farm in one night that don't don't have LGD's. We live in the middle of 160 acres and to think that some RCMP officer could charge me for one of my LGD's barking at night because a tourist complains to their host is disheartening, scary. Further more it rattles the cages of long term planning and sheer desire to farm if tourism and peoples pristine silence is becoming more important then the food we eat. I implore you all to think through the implications for farmers. 100% unarguable exemptions must be made for farmers if this is to proceed.</p>	A
<p>Further to my comments in the previous form. I am very frustrated that this is the first I have heard of this by-law. If it has been aware in Area A, it has been very quietly. I am glad I did not miss this comments cut of.</p>	A
<p>A guard dog chasing off predators should not be considered noise pollution. Having a farm environment which includes working guard dogs keeps livestock safe and reduces the number of predators that become problem animals that have to be put down.</p>	A
<p>I completely disagree with this new bylaw.</p>	A
<p>The reason I live in csrd and not a town in this area is because we have no bylaws. You have no right to try and implement any bylaw. Leave things alone, if people have noise issue they can move into a town with bylaws.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>This seems a little excessive. As the owner of a successful wedding venue in Goldens Area A, this noise bylaw would essentially ensure that we are no longer as successful as we are now. Weddings are a major part of Goldens summer success and if people are given more tools to complain, where does that leave the business industry in Golden? While I am definitely always mindful of neighbours and noise, a bylaw takes a lot out of our hands. If we keep putting road blocks up for our local businesses, people will soon have a lot more to complain about than noise. Weddings bring a lot of money to this town and having to shut it down by 10 pm is definitely not going to help. Please consider the many wedding venues, other small businesses and peoples want and need to enjoy the beautiful outdoors - if there is a bit of noise, is that really so bad?</p>	A
<p>I would like to see an exception to this bylaw in regards to working livestock gaurdian dogs actively protecting livestock on farms producing producing meat/eggs. These dogs do not bark all night but do bark at approaching bears, coyotes, cougars and the like and are imperative to the success of local farms in our region.</p>	A
<p>Please consider an exemption for Livestock Guardian Dogs. We need to support our farmers so we can eat local and have food security.</p>	A
<p>I think it would be good to have a livestock guard dog exemption, since we live in an area full of bears and cougars and need to keep these animals away from livestock without resorting to killing them with guns.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I am not a supporter of this bylaw, and see no reason for it in this particular part of area A.</p> <p>However, if it must be implemented, I would like to request an exemption for noises made by livestock, working dogs, and other domestic animals.</p> <p>There are many small farms in this area. For the most part, they are not in the ALR nor in an area designated by the province as farmland. In many (most?) cases, they didn't have farm status, as they are "hobby" farms. People move to this area specifically to take part in small farm operations.</p> <p>Guardian animals (e.g. dogs, donkeys) will make a loud noise when they perceive a threat (and bears don't limit themselves to 8am-10pm to wander through). Other animals (e.g. roosters) make noises at dawn (well before 8am for the most part). These noises are part of living in the area.</p> <p>I'm also concerned that 8am seems late to be allowed to make noise. Life in rural properties generally starts earlier than that, users of the school bus or those that travel into the municipal areas for work are often off the property by 8am. Construction noise is only affected until 7am. Make it 7am across the board instead of different times for different activities.</p>	A
<p>I have a livestock guardian dog (LGD) that protects my pigs and chickens from wild predators. I would like to be exempt from any legal action pertaining to the loud barks from my LGD during the restricted hours in your bylaw.</p> <p>My LGD is also necessary as a theft deterrent. There have been thefts on my property and when reported to the RCMP there has been no investigation. Any bylaw enforcement that prevents me from protecting my property and animals will be held in disregard.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>In our Area A, from Parson, Golden, Field, Donald, the noise which disrupts nice peaceful Canadian living are the Trains (crossing) and highways &amp; Silica mines (blasting &amp; constant noise). Shouldn't the RCMP concentrate on crooks quietly sneaking about people's personal property and robbing them instead??!! Crime rates are up around here in the last year, this is when dogs barking is helpful, to let people know there is something out there in the area. We have a produce farm and some farm animals, our dog communicated with other dogs in the area to let us know there is something moving through out there, we have them trained to stop when necessary.</p> <p>Also what about complaints about safety pertaining to no cell service between Parson and Donald, there are more dead cell areas now than 10 years ago. Shouldn't that be more on the agenda? People living on this end of the valley work together to solve complaints, if there are Albertan weekenders coming in and quading at 11pm at night on public roads, or partying with loud music at all hours, or letting off fireworks during fire bans, we talk to them first to resolve it with common sense, and then would go to the RCMP if they continued, no bylaw or extra bureaucratic paperwork needed. Thanks but no thanks, keep it to where the real complaints on residential noise probably originate Invermere and surrounding busy tourism weekender areas.</p>	A
<p>Aside from incessant dog barking during the day and night without care or attention from dog owners, the only real noise concern I have for Area A is the PLANE that operates 7 days per week with multiple flights per hour buzzing and whirring overhead. This operation of a Sky Diving Business by Plane has more than destroyed the PEACE AND HARMONY which many if not most of the population has sought in our Pristine Environment. The sound of Birds, Water, Wind all gone when that PLANE starts up at 7:30am. This business Supersedes all of Area A's right to Silence with intermittent Noise. This PLANE is not intermittent, it is constant during Spring and Summer. Please fix this.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I am concerned that this bylaw has no exception for farming, whether that be noise from Livestock guardian dogs barking to keep away the predators or from the animals raised for local food themselves (calves that are weaned tend to bawl all night for example). Livestock guardian dogs are essential in our area to keep the animals and also our children safe from predators. In farming, sometimes you need to make hay while the sun shines.....and this isn't always between 8am and 10pm. Tractors and equipment are loud. This will have a huge effect on local farming, especially as the rise in tourism is happening rapidly. This gives a huge amount of power to the guest or owner in a nearby lodge to complain about farming noise and get the RCMP involved. I am not confident that the RCMP is aware of challenges of farmers in this area.</p>	A
<p>We live out of town because there is no noise bylaw we have 3 dogs that bark when something or someone is in our yard doing there job my husband also parks his semi truck in our yard and sometimes has to leave the reefer running and we like to do family get togethers in the summer that go after 10 or 11 pm at night and I like to mow lawn at 7 in the morning because it cool out then. I for one do not want to see this bylaw passed it's not why I moved to the country</p>	A
<p>This is ridiculous, I live out of town so I can do what I want, when I want on my own property. I for one won't be paying any 1,000\$ fine and if anyone steps foot on my private property to give out such a fine will surely wish they hadn't. So I'm hoping this goes to a public vote because any local resident who has lived in surrounding area of Golden will not approve of this bylaw. If people want city rules and city by laws go back to the city.</p>	A
<p>very much opposed. this level of regulation belongs in towns, not rural areas. I feel that this, and any other attempts to bring in new bylaws, should be put to a vote.</p>	A
<p>Please put this to a vote because I don't want it-thanks</p>	A
<p>ABSOLUTELY NOT!!! I propose that when the CSRD receives a complaint, they instruct the complainant to go speak to the noise maker. We live in the country for a reason!! Stay out of our business.</p>	A
<p>I live in the country for a reason and the main reason being there aren't bylaws restricting everything we do. I am tired of people moving out here from cities and towns and then wanting to change everything. We like things the way they are! If you want bylaws and restrictions move to town.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
Will this extend towards CP rail and their midnight car switching in Hobart subdivision. They have been a bit better recently but for a while they were waiting till after midnight and the noise level was horrendous the whole house shook cause they were just slamming them till 4 am. Regular train noise we can live with. It would be better if they scheduled it for daytime instead of the middle of the night	A
I am strongly opposed to this bylaw. I chose to live in a rural area for extra freedom to play my music loud whenever I like or cut the lawn at 6 am if I choose. I feel this bylaw is a means for a select few to make waves for their neighbours.	A
Big no to the noise bylaw being proposed	A
We want a vote on this. We chose to live out of town so we didn't have to abide by town rules.	A
I do not want a noise bylaw I like it how it is I live out of town to get away from all the rules and live my life how I want to live it.	A
There is no need for this bylaw people live outside town limits for this reason among many other reasons	A
As a current and long time resident of Area A (Nicholson) I disagree with this bylaw. The reason I live in the Area A is I avoid bylaws such as this and building code.. summer evenings are best spent outside around a campfire, and I believe that if you start restricting what we can do on our properties, the majority of home owners will be unhappy. I respect my neighbours as they respect me - and I think that's more meaningful than a bylaw. Don't take my freedom away!	A
I am voting AGAINST having a noise bylaw! Please just let things be!	A
Is a noise bylaw really necessary? We all live out of town for a reason. Is this noise bylaw supposed to restrict weekend parties? How about property improvements? In the heat of the summer, I am not going to wait until 8am to start working on my property. Do you really think the RCMP needs to be wasting their resources by chasing down noise complaints?	A
Not in favor. Those who live in the country enjoy the privacy and freedom to do as we please. People can move to the city if they want bylaws.	A
Do not want this	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>When we moved to our rural area in 1978 we loved that we had no 'city rules' such as noise bylaws or building restrictions. Now, after nearly 40 years we are beginning to wish we had some regulations. For some reason, people who live out of town feel this gives them license to be as loud and as unruly as they choose with no regard for their neighbors. In our neighborhood, the biggest nuisance is dogs barking all night and all day. Occasionally we have issues with parties but not often enough to require a bylaw. If people could consider that their neighbors may not want to hear their heavy equipment at 0400 hours or their partying B&amp;B clients, then we wouldn't need a bylaw but it seems to me that people have lost their common sense. I would be in favor of a bylaw to 'help' remind my neighbors that we are all residents of this neighborhood and need to be considerate of others! I cannot imagine anyone NOT wanting a bylaw that would help enforce what should be happening already: quiet during 10 pm and 7 am.</p>	A
As an area A resident I am against this bylaw and ask it not be passed.	A
I do not agree with this at all. If one of my neighbors is being too loud, which has never been an issue, I would just ask them to tone it down. We do not need enforcement.	A
<p>Who would try to put such a bylaw in place obviously someone who is retired or has the work ethic's. Most people work 12 hour days away from their home you only time they can get their wood split work done on their property is usually on weekends or after work or early in the morning before work. People live in the country so they don't have to deal with the nonsense and people don't mind their own business telling them how to run their lives. So yes I object to this</p>	A
Not needed!!	A
Please leave us alone, we do not need this bylaw STOP messing with your lives	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>We suffer from incessant dog barking at all hours of the day! Home based businesses are our livelihood as it is for many others so we are at home alot during workdays, not to mention weekends! This "Dog" culture has grown to the point where it's bizzare! with owners having multiple animals. One example I know of is an owner with 21 "pet" dogs. Another with 4 hounds that bark uncontrollably for hours each day, yet these dogs aren't used for hunting but are rather cooped up for some perverse reason! these are only 2 examples of many! This has affected our quality of life and many others I know of here in Golden Rural for years! and it's getting worse! We also have a dog but yet it's controlled out of respect to the animal, wildlife and others! We have made many complaints to the csrd and rcmp etc yet to no avail! I would hope that considerable focus is put on to this "animal noise" in regards to this new noise bylaw! construction noise and human noise in general pails in comparison to these so called pets people love more than their own kind.</p>	A
I am opposed to the bylaw in Area A	A
<p>I feel this is just another tactic to try and push us toward rural bylaws! This issue as well as many other that have been before us, so many times in the past years, and is still met with great resistance, because the MAJORITY of us live out here to enjoy our freedoms!! Was it so long ago she can't remember the, quote "pitch fork mob she feared" unquote??Maybe it's time the Area A director understood this and quit wasting are time trying to manipulate us to further her mandates! CSRD should focus on getting the PROPER mosquito control that we have paid for the last three years on our taxes or credit us instead of paying the contractor that have not helped the situation!</p>	A
I have lived outside of town limits my whole life. We have never ever had bylaws out here and that's exactly why we choose to live were we do. I do not support getting bylaws out of town limits.	A
Hells no.	A
Thats completly absurd!!! I live out in the country for a reason and its not to be completly quite.	A
<p>I am opposed to this bylaw. One of the reason we live out of town is because we can enjoy our property as we please. Even though there are instances where loud noise can be heard outside of the stated hours, overall I feel our neighborhood can all co-exist peacefully and there is no one in particular causing unreasonable ruckus at an unreasonable frequency. Let people live their lives!</p>	A



Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I love this idea. Finally some bite. We live at Kicking Horse Resort and noise issues are a major problem. We have called the RCMP on occasion but there is not much they do but if there is a bylaw with a fine attached, this is great. I am very much in favour.	A
<p>We are definitely saying yes to implementing this bylaw</p> <p>We have lived here full-time, year-round for 10 years. We are not seasonal residents, nor weekend visitors. We have experienced a lot of inconsiderate loud noise, loud parties around us, loud music, loud drunken people on the plaza when the bars close, late at night. We have seen/heard an awful lot of this through the years. Also, Tour groups exiting their rooms at 530am, talking loudly. Buses idling for up to an hour or more, at all times of the day and night. And on and on.</p> <p>There has to be some control over these things, to maintain quiet, peace, rest and enjoyment of one's residence.</p> <p>The RCMP certainly do need to have the ability to ticket for these types of infractions.</p>	A
I do not support this. Leave things Alone!	A
I do not support this.	A
<p>I have to say that I have been disturbed by loud renters in adjacent properties from time to time and I am generally speaking in favor of the proposed by law. However, I am concerned about its strict application as ;</p> <p>1) it should be permitted occasionally for an owner to make some noise-for example a New Year's Eve party or a 50th birthday celebration. There should be some reciprocal tolerance and understanding by neighbors of noise from time to time. I also think the 10 pm start time is too early and should be midnight.</p> <p>2) there should be some exception for businesses particularly for ones established for many years and may have existed before others moved into area ( grandfathering) or at very least a later start time.</p> <p>Should have ability for a person to apply for an exemption from application of noise bylaw for a particular event.</p>	A
<p>Seems like a very blanketed bylaw. It doesn't seem like a very necessary bylaw considering that if someone has a noise complaint the RCMP are obligated to check it out and shut it down if necessary. I don't think that neighbors should be fined and would cause more harm than good for relationships with people living next to one another. I for one am against this. Thanks for your time.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>This noise bylaw is very important as the regional district has increasingly attracted weekenders and tourists who have little connection with the communities here. A group who rent a home for a weekend are "care free" so the objections of residents are not on their minds. Neighbourhood noise where we live is not easily avoided and when a big party carries on all night, it is intimidating to try and shut it down as residents of the neighbourhood have no real skills, authority or experience in dealing with drunk and sometimes belligerent people. this should be up the law enforcers to deal with. A BYLAW IS NECESSARY.</p>	A
<p>Totally in agreement with this ByLaw. Noise issues with renters and airbnb on the residential streets at Kicking Horse mountain resort, and this would help.</p>	A
<p>The railway does too much unnecessary noise in the nights. Idling engines are running by hours! Grating and squeak are very loud.</p>	A
<p>Hello, as a resident of the Blaeberry and Area A and an accommodation owner, I am please to see the noise bylaw drafted. I think this bylaw is timely. However my preference is that in the summer the bylaw hours be extended to 11 PM. With the long days, people are often outside until later and sound does carry on these clear evenings. With it being so light it is hard to understand why they can't be outside around a campfire talking. I trust you understand and will consider my comment.</p>	A
<p>This is a great idea and long overdue. As our regional district becomes more densely populated, noise becomes a disruptive factor. Will this also pertain to noise from guns? We ahave neighbours that shoot their guns repeatedly.</p>	A
<p>This proposed bylaw is a great idea. I own and use property at the Kicking Horse area. The nature of this property leads to out of control parties and noise and is almost without exception, impossible to control or shut down due to the current lack of enforcement options held by the RCMP. I do not feel that this bylaw will impact the day to day lifestyle of most residents, but is a good step forward to improve the quality of life of all residents.</p>	A
<p>I am all in favor of the by-law. However, the 10:00 pm start time seems very aggressive. In peak summer the sun has just barely set by 10:00 am and it is very bright with another hour of light. An 11:00 pm start time seems much more reasonable to me. This allows people to be outside enjoying the long summer days but heading in once nightfall comes.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I am a full-time resident at Kicking Horse Mountain Resort where excessive noise is frequently heard from the many rental homes. Sometimes this leads to uncomfortable confrontations. Therefore, I am in favor of this proposed bylaw.	A
do not support this proposed bylaw	A
I am fully supportive of the Proposed Noise ByLaw No 5754.	A
I live south of golden and operate an autobody repair facility. I run a very organized business here and have good relations with all my neighbours. Having said that I believe before implementing and kinds of bylaws there should be a vote regarding ANY bylaws in area a. We have already lost our river due to a 20 hp restriction that seemed to be implemented with no vote that I was aware of anyways. We do not need a noise bylaw outside town, if you want that type of thing, live in town. I'm also afraid if one bylaw gets passed it will be the start of a whole bunch more bylaws. And I think enforcing these would be a terrible waste of money and where is this money going to come from and if it's already allotted for please spend it on something useful.	A
Totally unnecessary bylaw, have lived in Area A 15+ years and although there has been sounds of construction and vehicles nearby, it has never been long term or serious. Logging truck traffic was a concern, but it seems they drive a respectable speed in most residential areas. I don't mind people enjoying their rural property with occasional music and noise, we are land owners and prefer being close to sounds of nature rather than living in town with local conveniences and the loud, disturbances of the railway, highway and street car noise. If there are complaints of neighborhood they should be taken up with the source and not take the RCMP away from protecting the community.	A
This is a horrible idea! Let people who live out of town be able to make as much noise as they want! That's the whole reason they live out of town so there's no noise violations. We have dirt bikes and quads we have fires at night, we should be able to do what we please.	A
Noise bylaw this is a joke we live on acreages and area A for a reason. To do what we want and have no one to enforce petty rules and laws. Take a hike with your noise bylaw and find something better to do with your time.	A
Area a has all the rules that we need . If this bylaw is adopted it will be a stepping stone for more to come . LEAVE IT ALONE If the people think a bylaw is going to change anything think again.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I am very much in favor of this bylaw. We moved to a rural area three years ago to grow food and to have peace and quite and now our neighbors are catering weddings which have been loud until the 11pm or beyond midnight. Also, they have had fireworks beyond 10pm, which we really do not enjoy. They also have heavy machinery running past 11pm sometimes, and they started an accidental forest fire when clearing their land (April 2016), which was very disruptive to our home and the wildlife when the heli-water bomber circled out home for 3 hours to get water from the Columbia Wetlands. I wonder if they (Columbia Outpost Lodge) are authorized by the Agricultural Land Commission to have multiple weddings, other events with large amounts of people, land clearing and other major works happen on their property, which is within the Agricultural Land Reserve? I am not in favor of what they are doing and I hope that this noise bylaw will help lower our decreased level of outside enjoyment on our property due to their noise pollution ,which seems to continually be increasing since they bought this property about 1.5 years ago.</p>	A
We do not need this!	A
<p>Think it's a great idea to give enforcement officers legal backing to enforce when certain situations arise for the greater good of the community!</p> <p>100%support</p>	A
<p>This bylaw is not what we as an area A residents want ever were tired of the area A director and her committee pushing bylaws when they have been told that we don't want hem</p>	A
<p>We had a choice of where to build and develop tourist accommodation. We picked CSRD Area A because of the fact that there was less Red Tape and bylaws than other areas. We get a lot of tour groups that want to play music around the campfire. Right now we don't have neighbours (which is why we built here) and therefore no one to complain. However my concern is that with this new bylaw, someone can buy land near us and build a place and then turn around and tell us to be quiet. Its' like someone who buys a house next to an airport and turns around and complains about noise from planes! We bought and developed this land on the specific condition that we could let our groups party without worrying about heavy handed police presence. And now you are telling me that I may have wasted a million dollars because you are going to change that?! This is NOT acceptable!</p>	A
<p>I am competely against this bylaw unless it includes CPR! We live out of town because we like having no bylaws! We have lived in Habart for 28 years and have no complaints that we know of and if we did our neighbors would let us know believe me! Bylaws create more bylaws and that's a problem! You bet I will be at the meetings &amp; voting NO!</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I am VERY MUCH in favor of a noise bylaw! I am currently housesitting in Parson and 2 nights ago had to listen to loud music until late at night from a commercial wedding across the highway . The neighbour called the police due to this noise.	A
We do not need a noise by-law in residential areas in Area A - we live out here so we can start our snow machines at 6 am - have guests over around a campfire - have dogs in the yard to keep away bears. If we wanted by-laws we would move to town, easy enough	A
I am not in favour of this Bylaw number 5754. There is provisions in the. criminal Code of Canada which prohibits noise which inhibits the lawful enjoyment of ones property that the RCMP or peace Officer can use to quell noise in the rural areas. There is no need to have another law running parallel to one CCC. MY VOTE IS NO	A
I vote No, I am not in favour of Noise By Law 5754.	A
Great idea!! Maybe my neighbours can be held accountable with this?!	A
Our area a rep is trying sneak another piece of legislation through that no one has had a say in other than her little elite circle. As a tax paying resident I demand to be heard. I am against this bulaw	A
another back door zoning bylaw being saddled on Area A residents without any public input. As part of the waste of money on the zoning proposal study the people of Area A are overwhelmingly opposed to new regulations. Karon continues to advance her agenda against the wishes of Area A residents.	A
so does this mean that CP can't not stop within 100 m of a house or the Rock tricks from the mine have to obey the timeline? Why punish the tax paying property owners by charging us more becauae some city folk want piece and quiet when they rent property out of town. You know they can't hear the birds & we Scare off the wildlife when we mow our grass or work on OUR properties. Bullshit. The tourists are deciding how we should live because they have cash I have lived out of Golden for years and have never had an issue with my neighbors that couldn't be handled by a quick phone call or a chat the next day. What distubs my Sleep is the train and I live way up Mnt 7.	A
I am a resident of area A and I am completely against the proposed noise bylaw #5754	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
we live out of town for a reason, so we don't have to worry about all the rules of living within town limits. Civil conversation usually resolves these issues between neighbours, and when things get to be too much, that's what the RCMP are there for anyway. My family does not support this. Leave our rights that living out of town limits beings alone.	A
I vote against this bylaw	A
NO! Save your bylaws for your towns and cities. Leave us rural folks the hell alone.	A
I would like to be placed among the number of people that are against this bylaw. I don't want to have it in my area and I don't think it is nessessary. If you want quiet times and other such rules move into a subdivision that offers that life or into a municipality with those rules, if you choose the rural life we should be free from burdens like this. I also feel that if this is placed on Area A it will be just the beginning of the rules and regulations that will slowly degrade the lifestyle that we live out in Area A for. Please vote against this bylaw being added to Area A.	A
Not interested in this bylaw!	A
I disagree with this!	A
I am opposed to this noise bylaw in Area A. I feel that this is CSR de trying to sneak in the back door and start imposing by laws on us. I know there are complaints at Kickinghorse mountain resort but they can deal with it in their own way . It would be stupid to impose this on everyone	A
I have lived in area A for 37 years and I am AGAINST this by- law. Yes there have been times that I have heard my neighbors but it has never been so bad that I couldn't sleep. Occasionally people have a special occasion and it might be a little noisier than usual but it's never been a problem. Most houses in this area are not real close to their neighbors as it would be in Town so if any noise is heard it is minimal.	A
Not interested in this or any other bylaws being enforced in area A. We enjoy or freedom out here and have the ability to work with our neighbors to resolve issues.  Please do not pass this bylaw.	A
Construction related noise should have same time restrictions applied as other noise - 10pm - 8am (7am is too early for such noise to begin) Definition of construction noise must be applied to commercial noise arising from conduct of business or transportation/vehicular noise related to conduct of business.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
My husband and I are very much in favour of a Noise Bylaw! It is rare in the summer months in particular to enjoy a quiet peaceful evening on our deck. We chose to live in a rural area years ago because we wanted privacy, peace and quiet. The situation in our neighbourhood is changing as a neighbour is operating a commercial enterprise that is increasingly encroaching on our property line, and creating disturbances and annoyances, often into early morning hours, all summer long.	A
I hope this also applies to Hemskirk (AKA Blaeberry Silica) Silica Plant. Some mornings when the air is cool, the plant is very loud.	A
We are in full support of this bylaw. We are hopeful that the bylaw will also apply to shooting of guns. We are often unnerved by neighbours shooting guns - target practice? - or just rowdy behaviour? after dark.	A
I think the bylaw makes sense. Will it apply to shunting trains in Nicholson and Habart? I hope so.	A
area A has twice voted out any and all bylaws because we do not want them. here you are trying to putr in one bylaw and before you know it there will be another one and another one. Please stay out of our lives and leave us alone.	A
Haven't really heard enough disruptive noise other than created by corporate and government to justify a bylaw	A
I am all for Bylaw 5754, the noise from (illegal in rural residential 300 m zone) industrial operations commencing at 6 am is not acceptable(Sunday included) on Anderson Road 1 km. west of Golden. And of course Crandall's Crapville is an offence to the eye, environment(leaking fluids from busted vehicles, trailers, etc.).	A
What is proposed has more restrictive hours than the town of Golden, this doesn't make sense. Most of all though, we do not want a noise bylaw!!!	A
I am fully against this proposed noise bylaw. I along with everyone I've spoken to about this do not want it. Please scrap it.	A
We really feel this bylaw is unnecessary. We would also like to know how this affects the agricultural communities? I have yet to to be able to tell a cow to be quiet or the hay to wait to be bailed. There are some things in these situations that time is irrelevant and noise is the result.	A
NO to Bylaw #5754!	A
Hopefully this also includes noise from GMA = Genitically Modified Animals i.e. dogs	A
This is one of the dumbest law there is this why we live in the country is for are freedom	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I fully support this proposal. My wife and I moved out of town 9 year ago for the peace and quiet of country living. As the rural population continues to grow, peace and quiet are become more and more a rarity. I think the proposed 'quiet hours of 10:00pm to 8:00am for disruptive sounds and 10:00pm to 7:00am for construction-related activities are very reasonable. Thank you so much for hearing the concerns of the rural citizens and keep up the great work!	A
This proposed By-Law is another great example of how our current Area A representatives have priorities backwards. How is it we can introduce talks on a proposal that stops residents from noise pollution when Area A allows commercial noise pollution? The hauling from Moberly Silca Plant has never made it to talks such as this to inform area A of the health issues associated with Silca, the noise pollution from the trucks and the huge increase in safety hazard. How does a residents noise pollution that may effect one or two properties take priority over dozens that are effected by the Haul trucks. How does this proposal get started and nothing was done before the Mine made clear of its plan with area A? Let's start with a commercial ban on noise pollution before we start by-laws for our own.	A
<p>Thank you for sharing this proposed by-law for external feedback.</p> <p>Although this proposal affects residents in Area A in mainly a positive way, it does not go far enough. Most residents who have chosen to live here to pursue a quiet lifestyle are impacted by daily construction/ industrial/ commercial traffic, that compromises the peace they came for. This by-law should have an inclusion regarding unacceptable noise levels during day-time hours in what is now an essentially residential area. Cities across Canada have facilitated ring-roads to deal with reducing the impact of commercial traffic on residential areas. Unlikely as this is to happen (ring road) in Area A, we must therefore stipulate an alternative. Roads in the area must be audibly secured by stipulating unacceptable noise levels (e.g. truck retarder brakes; "jake brakes) through appropriate by-laws.</p>	A
Totally against proposed noise bylaw #5754.	A



Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I have a four concerns:</p> <p>1) There is no criteria for what constitutes "loud and disruptive noise" in terms of measurement . The ambient noise level is about 40 decibels depending on the wind etc and the pain threshold is 115-140 decibels depending on the person. You need some science here - what have other jurisdictions done with respect to this?</p> <p>2) Someone may get upset at anything above ambient noise, even if it is only 60 db (about the level of a car going by). Also how do you deal with problem or vindictive neighbours who may complain about the noise at any level, potentially getting back at people they don't get along with.</p> <p>3) You single out construction but what about restaurants or other such businesses. If the nature of a business is to provide entertainment until 1:00AM, can local neighbours shut down this business (and all of the related jobs and economic activity) with their complaints?</p> <p>4) A personal concern is motorcycles on Hwy 95 where we live. We are about 300m from the road but I still get 80 db on our deck. Regular road noise goes to about 60 db and the train is about 55. We are currently getting 50-70 motorcycles a day on a weekend, and mufflers seem to be a forgotten piece of technology for many of them. Once, when I was at the Parson store, I watched a fellow put in ear plugs before he started his motorcycle. I had to cover my ears because the noise from his mufflers hit above my pain threshold.</p>	A
So does this bylaw limit hours of operation	A
<p>I am in full favor of this by-law having dealt with noisy neighbors playing their music so loud I can hear it in my house as well as neighbors hosting weddings at their place with loud music until 2 am. I do believe that the by-law should also include barking dogs. This is probably the noise that keeps people awake at night the most. We lived next to 20 dog sledding dogs for a year and they would go off at all hours of the day! For a year I could not sleep with my window open. Please consider dog noises in your by-law.</p>	A
As a resident of area a, I am strongly opposed to any proposed noise bylaw. And I am very disappointed in the round about way that this info came to me. I saw nothing about this from our area a directors emails I receive.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I see this as a positive and useful bylaw and I'm in favour of seeing it go through. Simply having a standard regulation for noise levels during specific hours will help keep things peaceful as it will likely speak for itself and help discourage excessive noise levels at night without requiring any enforcement. Although the community likely won't have to use it very often, as a last resort enforcement might be the only way to get compliance from certain cases of excessive noise disturbance which I'm sure most residents can appreciate.	A
We live on a residential street in area A, and the house next to us has been turned into a nightly/vacation rental property, like many recently have been. Since then it's pretty much come to be expected that there is usually night time noise on the weekends, but there are times when it really gets out of hand and the noise lasts all night, and the renters probably feel that they're staying out in the woods and don't recognize that they're bothering anyone. The noise bylaw will be helpful for these situations and just to be able to set a baseline for noise tolerance that visitors can be made aware of in the neighbourhoods outside town/area a.	A
This by law does not represent any area A residents. We don't want it or need it please put this to a vote! As it will be voted down AGAIN!	A
I feel this is an appropriate step forward, especially as subdividing land continues and overall area A living densities become higher. Quite time after 11pm works for me. I might be a nice idea to have a simple permit system which would allow a variance to the bylaw allowing a party etc to go on until say 1am. This would help facilitate the odd wedding or party but should be only given for a none commercial event and only available once per year per property.	A
I remain absolutely opposed to introducing bylaws in area A. Please stop trying to impose such rules on behalf of the few. I disagree with the need for such a bylaw.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>My husband and I wish to submit a negative response to the instigation of this bylaw in Area A. In our opinion it is not relevant nor needed.</p> <p>This effort seems to be coming about without public discussion and input which is not what Area A director promised. The information came about only because some one else happened to be aware of it and introduced it through social media. This avenue is not public enough. It appears on our part to be an attempt to slide in a by-law into Area A through a back door.</p> <p>It does not seem that it is a needed by-law for our area. We are not bothered excessively by Noise. If we are mostly it can be dealt with personally.</p> <p>The bylaw should not arbitralily be imposed on all Area regions it should be voted on by each Area separately.</p> <p>The by-law would infringe on resident's rights to do what they wish on their own property ie would mean no fire work displays, no private wedding parties or other family gatherings that continue on after 10 pm as these functions often do. No snowmobiling at night on your own fields etc etc.</p> <p>Opens the door to abuse by neighbours that do not get along and would involve RCMP needlessly.</p> <p>What proof would need to be provided should the complaint be made in writing? And after the fact? What recourse would the so called offender have to dispute?</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>after the fact? what recourse would the so called offender have to dispute?</p> <p>Means the tax payers of Area A would have to pay for employment of an officer to issue fines and our taxes would be paying for a position that would likely not be utilised. The bylaw procedure would sit on the books as an essentially unused item but it would see a precedent for bylaws into Area A which is bylaw free at the moment.</p> <p>Why should only commercial activities and farmers be exempt? What constitutes a farmer? What about the residents that have to start equipment, vehicles early in am to go to work? Chainsaws in the evening when residents have to work all day and only have evenings to do personal chores, Nit picking it sounds like to you but all valid situations in our rural life.</p> <p>What constitutes a neighbour? In our area noise from upriver carries a very long way miles? I can clearly hear parties up river and down river on an evening and the properties are several km's away by road....</p> <p>We are residents of Area for 40 years and please do not continue with this unwarranted by-law in our Area .</p>	
<p>Last year many meetings were held on the assumption that the people in area A's desires would be heard and dealt with accordingly. It was made very clear by a big majority that we did not want any bylaws. This noise bylaw has been brought in as an attempt to start a process of adding more and more bylaws. People in Area A have farms and ranches and need guard dogs to protect their livestock. This bylaw would enable people to complain when the dogs are barking at night to scare away predators. Once again let me state what was clearly communicated at last years meetings, we do not want any bylaws.</p>	A
NO, NO,NO!!!! To # 5754	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I am not at all in favour of a bylaw regarding noise in area A. this is a huge huge area. A bylaw of this nature is unnecessary. We could have some community meetings to work on ways to deal with any issues that are arising - promoting neighbourhood cooperation and communication. also identifying what the issues are for the broader community would help. many probably have provincial regulation already in place that are either unknown or not enforced. the rcmp can already visit a rowdy location if they have time, they can ask noise to be reduced etc if they have time.....although a lot of this is likely better dealt with by communication and cooperation.</p> <p>....I can't see hiring a csrd bylaw officer for this issue....waste of money...and otherwise the bylaw will just sit there.</p> <p>I may write a more detailed note later about the issue of bylaws - but for now please register my opposition to a bylaw on noise.</p> <p>I do support initiatives that bring groups together; for example, working together on trail use for different users etc. so i am not against making positive changes. but a bylaw ? for noise? in a diverse and vast area of mostly wilderness such as Area A ...not so much. bylaws are more for urban areas and even there....an officer must be paid to enforce..and how often do we hear of ticketing....even in an urban area the effectiveness is questionable at best.</p>	A
<p>The only noise issues that are problematic to Area A are commercial-related (i.e. haul trucks), an issue that is being skirted while this sort of "whine about your neighbours" waste of time is pursued. I am happy to hear my neighbours. However, watching and listening to Moberly Mountain get exploded and hauled away is infuriating and can honestly be called noise pollution. WE ARE NOT IN AGREEMENT WITH THIS BYLAW. PERHAPS IT IS TIME TO START LISTENING TO REAL COMPLAINTS.</p>	A
Hard NO.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I think that a bylaw like this will not be a good neighbor bylaw. I think it is important to first open dialogue with the offending noise maker. It will make for some very nasty feelings if people are able to just phone in their complaints</p> <p>I also think that this type of bylaw is difficult for anyone in the farming industry. During the summer when the weather is finally hot, we cut hay and some days there are some very long days put in. As the saying goes (make hay while the sun shines)There is no such thing as an 8 hr work day at that time of year Some nights the cattle herd get very noisy if they re being bothered by a predator. More noise. Please do not implement this noise by law.</p>	A
In agreement for evening noise bylaw	A
I think a noise bylaw makes good sense thank you	A
<p>Rural properties should not have a noise bylaw!! When you buy property in a rural area, and you are not a loud to make noise after 10pm this by-law is restrictive to your rights. In town there should be a noise by-law as the properties are close together and noise can be heard by more people. Living on your acres, you should be free to communicate with others past 10pm. This is by-law is quite controlling and concerning.</p> <p>Strongly against this by law!</p>	A
Please DO NOT proceed with this. Myself and my husband do not support these proposed changes. We live on a quarter section of land in area A and continue to live here to be free from the endless rules of city living. If we have a problem with our neighbors we talk to them.	A
We don't need a Noise By Law EXPECIALLY not one put in place without the people voting!!	A
I wish to make my opposition to the bylaw known.	A
I disagree with the noise by law proposal	A
<p>I am in favor of the proposed noise bylaw. It is necessary for RCMP to be able to enforce noise complaints and keep our rural areas peaceful and have some element of control over business activity in the CSRD.</p> <p>At this moment our neighbour is operating a wedding event facility on ALR land. We have been kept up and our quality of life is suffering. We called the RCMP and had them drop in on one of the loudest nights and they returned to say that they could not do anything as there is no noise bylaw...case in point we need bylaws not just noise bylaws in Area A.</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I support the proposed Noise Bylaw No.5754. I truly believe this is necessary to have in place, as there is unfortunately, some rural residents who feel it is their right to be unreasonably loud and disruptive at night. Why should the concern of excessive noise be any different for rural residents as those residing within the town limits where there is recourse. I feel a noise bylaw has become necessary, common courtesy to others is not always a given.	A
In support of a reasonable noise bylaw	A
I have to admit that a noise bylaw in area A is absurd. The people who live there are there because of the freedoms that are associated with living in the area. If there is someone out there who is making an excessive amount of noise then isn't it the responsibility of the person being bothered by the sound to put on his or her grown up pants and talk to that individual themselves instead of crying to the government?	A
I cannot express enough how much we need this bylaw. My personal life and business are harmed every weekend with those who choose to disregard their neighbours rights with loud parties, foul language and over the top music until all hours of the night and early mornings. The RCMP, although eager to assist have no teeth to help curb the abuse. With more and more private homes being rented out for weddings and stags we need to stop this before it gets worse. We already have a reputation for "anything goes" parties in some areas and soon no one will want to retire or move here with a family.	A
This is a great idea the noise from the amount of weddings and houses being rented is increasing and the noise from these stag parties etc is extremely loud  This enforcement would be great	A
I totally agree with the need for this bylaw and enforcement being a homeowner here we are constantly having to put up with the noise from houses that are rented out for weddings stags and parties	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>I completely support this bylaw as a good first step. I had to deal with an inconsiderate neighbour for a 2 year period. This individual would play his stereo all day at unacceptably loud levels, in particular loud , thumping bass which could be heard even inside my house. RCMP were called on several occasions about this individual who was often stoned or drunk and very confrontational. I eventually had to retain a lawyer and investigate civil action against the property owner as the problem person was a tenant. This eventually had the desired effect as the problem person moved out. I had to spend almost \$1000 in lawyer's fees before the problem was solved. The RCMP were reluctant to lay mischief charges under the criminal code, although I was quite prepared to testify in court.</p> <p>Had there been a noise bylaw in place, I am quite certain one or more tickets would have solved the problem much quicker and without me having to spend money on lawyers!</p> <p>My only criticism of this bylaw is that objectionable noise ie loud stereos, should be illegal regardless of the time of day. If you can hear music from a neighbour's property on your property, it is too loud! Many people work shifts and have to sleep during the day. Stereo noise is 100% discretionary and not essential to running a household like lawn mowing etc. I suggest that this form of noise in particular, be prohibited at all times. I don't want to be subjected to other people's music at any time of the day. I know I am not alone in this position. There are far too many people living in area A now that care nothing for their neighbours and are all about self gratification. There are also commercial operations such as campgrounds that host events that are frequently noisy (loud music).</p> <p>I support this bylaw, but it would better yet with the amendment I suggested above.</p>	A
I agree with this the proposed noise bylaw as it has been difficult to discourage and enforce people who disregard the peaceful nature of Golden and the Kicking Horse Resort.	A



Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>Sections 2.2 and 4.1 You would be allowing a by-law enforcement officer (citizen) to enter on private property without any check or balance. Who is to stop a by-law enforcement officer with a personal vendetta? Is it the area director? If it is why would you want that responsibility? Any noise complaint should be handled by the RCMP. Let the Criminal Code deal with a public nuisance.</p> <p>Section 3.1 Who decides what constitutes a noise? Motorcycles in my opinion are way too loud but are accepted by society as a whole. A lot of people don't like the sound of trains at night but good luck with that!</p> <p>Part 5 Penalty</p> <p>Who pays for taking a person to court to convict them of an offence?</p>	A
<p>There is a law in effect for this "problem", therefore DO NOT see any need for a by law now or anytime in the future. It would seem to me that if there was a problem with noise then the complainants should have called police. Why bog down now an already overloaded police system with another bylaw when there is should already one in place?</p>	A
I do not agree to the bylaw # 5754	A
<p>I am in favour of the noise bylaw.</p> <p>KHMR has many weddings and the noise that comes with some puts the area in a negative experience.</p> <p>Lately it has been getting worse instead of better.</p>	A
<p>I find it hard to believe that there are that many people concerned about noise, for example - one person complaining sixteen times still equates to one complaint.</p> <p>I also don't believe that the RCMP will be enforcing this bylaw --- as they already have the (Disturbing the Peace), law, that applies to all of Canada.</p> <p>Perhaps this bylaw should be introduced to a few populated areas- to see if there is any possibility of it working before it is applied in blanket form, where it would be totally cost prohibitive and unworkable.</p>	A
I think this is great but i do think consideration for events and decibel levels should be taken into account.	A
I Love the idea of a Noise ByLaw though I think it should start at 11pm. I find 10pm too early	A
No thanks to Bylaw No. 5754	A
My property in Field is greatly disturbed by CP rail. Could you advise if CP would be fined and if this is enforceable in the National Parks.	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I don't agree to this noise by-law. There are other laws that need to be dealt with first as a safety requirement for living in this RESORT community	A
<p>I would like to make a few comments on the proposed noise bylaw. I have lived in the Area A for over 27 years. In my years living on my own personal property that I personally purchased, I have done whatever and whenever I wanted. I am the one that have purchased my property and where I live. No one is ever going to tell me how to run things on my property and when. I have to admit that where I live all the neighbours are friendly and courteous. We all get along. We all notify of anything that will be going on each of our properties. We have never had any concerns about any noise or if anyone is doing anything suspicious. Area A is where I choose to live. It is very peaceful and all neighbours are the right distance apart where noise is not an issue. Everyone has a choice to do whatever and whenever they want. I feel that the noise bylaw is meant for people that do actually break any law and do not have consideration for others and that put others in harms way. Other people should not be penalized for what others chose to do wrongfully. Where I live, as Karen Cathcart, had a personal meeting with our neighbourhood, we choose not have any bylaw enforced to our area as we all get along and have not had any problems with others in regards. Plus how is any bylaw going to correct what does go on and when on other properties? One officer cannot manage to maintain Area A alone, too big of an area. This will not change anything as people that live in the Area A district have lived in the area for very long time and no one person is going to change the ways that people do things and when. We chose to live</p>	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>person is going to change the ways that people do things and when. We chose to live where we live for a reason and no one is going to change my mind on this subject. I will say it over and over again, where I live, where Karen Cathcart had a meeting with most of our neighbours. We do not want any bylaw for our area as our neighbourhood looks after one another. We are our own little community, per say. I do not want any changes and no one is going to change my mind on this. I feel that not everyone has had the opportunity to comment on this as I own a business and have talked to anyone that I could on this subject and not everyone did not even know that this is trying to be in effect. I feel that not all Seniors or people with disabilities have had the opportunity to discuss this matter or attend meetings about this subject. As stated in a few meeting that Karen Cathcart was having around everywhere around our community area a area, seniors and people with disabilities have not been able to attend meetings where they do not have access to as a lot of places were not accessible by all and was not user friendly by all people to attend the meetings. I will say this over and over, I do not want anything to change now or ever. I chose to live where I live and no one else is going to tell me what to do and when to do it. I could go on and on about this subject. Please call me if you want more of my opinion on this subject. Also as a property own like all others, some properties have businesses on them. Like mine, I do not want anyone to tell me what to do and whenever to do that will affect on how my business is run. I feel like others that have businesses from home, this bylaw will not work and could affect financially on businesses and homeowners, then what. Who is going to financially support us then if we have to change the way and how we run our businesses? There will be no support so then leave us alone and we do not want change. Please phone me on this subject. Please!!!!</p>	
	A

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>Last year Area A residents spent a considerable amount of time and money on having a survey conducted that established the concerns of the residents in Area A. I do not believe that the results of this survey indicated that the majority of the residents in Area A wanted a noise bylaw.</p> <p>You stated that the noise bylaw was developed because there were some complaints of loud and disruptive noises created by some landowners and tenants in residential areas. Perhaps you could focus on the areas where the complaints were made and determine if the majority of people in that area want a bylaw passed. A minority of people should not dictate what bylaws should be established.</p> <p>Also, since the Criminal code does have a section dealing with noise and disruptive behaviour, I don't see a need to have another law to enforce what is already there.</p> <p>Part 11 , section 2.2 says that a bylaw officer can enter a property to determine if the bylaw regulations etc, are being met. Why would they need to enter a property to determine if a noise bylaw was being broken? Surely that could be determined from outside the property, since that is where the noise would have been heard, if a complaint had been made.</p> <p>Also, in Schedule A the definition of Bylaw Enforcement Officer, seems to include a multitude of people or their designates, that can enter a property to check up on the local residents.</p> <p>In Section 3.1 of the bylaw there are no specific times stated. In Section 3.2 there are specific times stated. but the first part of 3.2 states "without limiting the generality of</p>	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>Section 3.1. Does this mean that a business or industry cannot be established anywhere in the Columbia Shuswap Regional District if it makes a noise at anytime. What happens to existing businesses in the area?</p> <p>Section 3.3 (b), gives civic, provincial and federal agencies an exemption to work outside of the 10:00 pm to 7:00 am timelines, but there is no exemption listed for residents to use machinery to plow their driveways or clear their driveways of fallen trees, between 10:00pm and 7:00am. Section 3.3 (c) allows for operating machinery in an emergency, but I'm not sure that getting to work on time is an emergency.</p> <p>Other things to consider---</p> <p>What is the definition of noise and how long does the noise have to occur before it is classified as disruptive? How does one prove that a loud noise was or wasn't made. Are fireworks going off on New Year's Eve and July 1 considered to be a loud and disruptive noise?</p> <p>If your dog barks between 10:00pm and 7:00am can someone in the vicinity report you? Even if it is not a common occurrence?</p> <p>When logging operations have to start early in the morning due to dry forests, can the logs be hauled before 7:00am?</p> <p>At one of the local Area A meetings I attended last year, I was told (very aggressively) by an employee of the CSRD that our representative did not have to listen to our concerns and that she could do as she pleased since she had been elected. I found this quite disturbing.</p> <p>Last year I saw Karen work hard on finding out what the residents in Area A wanted for their communities. The results are in and a getting a noise bylaw was not on the agenda.</p>	
Completely in favour of this. Finally a by-law with some bite, and just what is needed at Kicking Horse Mountain Resort.	A
<b>ELECTORAL AREA 'B' - No Comments Received</b>	
<b><u>ELECTORAL AREA 'C'</u></b>	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
There does not appear to be any proposal regarding "noise" emitting from marine traffic on the waterways within the CSRD. Those of us who live on the lakeside are often inundated by the assault of extremely loud exhaust from all manner of marine traffic. This is more annoying than any construction or entertainment equipment.	C
The only comment I would have is the time should be 11:00 p.m., we are tourist business and the sun is not down till 11:00 and we enforce a quiet time then at our Resort	C
All other aspects are just fine with the proposed bylaw	
This bylaw has been needed for several years so I am very happy to see that the CSRD is finally considering implimenting a noise bylaw in our area. With the increase in RV parks and bible schools in residential/rural areas, this is long overdue. As the owner of a small farm - we are respectful to our neighbours and never start work before 8 or 9 in the morning and finish long before 10 in the evening. If we can do this - surely others can be respectful of people in the neighbourhood.	C
I believe this is a very positive step and is required on the south side of Shuswap as well. Why could this by law not be proposed for both north & south sides?	C
I have a few questions. First, to whom would a potential fine apply? I rent out my house, would the fine be written in the name of the person making the violation or in the name of the property owner? Second, I think that 10 pm is too early for summer as most of summer it is still light out at 10 pm. I think there should be different times for different seasons. Third, what does it mean that a peace officer can "enter" a property? I do not want people entering my property at their discretion or interpretation.	C
Please look into the noise bylaw in effect on Okanagan Lake whereby excessively loud boats are not allowed and enact the same type of regulations for Shuswap Lake. Please extend bylaw 5754 to cover noise on Shuswap perhaps above a certain decibel level. This would force boats' exhaust to be released under water rather than above, thus limiting the noise. Thank you for your consideration.	C
We agree that a noise bylaw is required. However, we are just as concerned about the noise on the lake-from loud boats that exhaust above water rather than below to boats with loud music. The problem is getting worse every year. Would it be possible to amend this bylaw to include these concerns?	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I agree generally with the noise bylaw, but have not seen where the hours might be amended (by approval) for a special event. Currently, things like Canada Day, weddings, and other special events where fireworks or music might be enjoyed, run past the 10 PM time. I hope that has been, or will be, taken into consideration. Thank you.	C
Please consider changing the proposed bylaw re construction-related activities and excavation or fill -- prohibited between the hours of 8:00pm and 7:00am	C
I have been vacationing along Eagle Bay Road in Blind Bay since 1981 and since that time I've seen a significant residential and recreational population increase in the area. A noise bylaw is long overdue. There are cottage and home owners along this stretch for whom a party stretches into the early hours of the morning and without a bylaw and resulting consequences, not much can be done to curtail their noise. I am not against having fun to a reasonable hour but after 11 or even 12 pm it seems appropriate, courteous and civil to consider others. Noise, of course, travels easily across water and I know that these parties annoy everyone around the Bay. In my own case, I have had to consider when and if guests might come out (avoiding long weekends!) because party noise is a problem. This stretch is starting to be seen by vacationers and locals as the rowdy part of Blind Bay, to be avoided. As there is a campground as well as cabin rentals nearby, I would think that everyone has a stake in keeping the area attractive to vacationers, day or night. Road noise is a whole other topic ... for the next Bylaw!	C
What is happening about the noise levels put out from the cigar boats running up and down Shuswap Lake during the day? Conversations cannot take place as well as I have concerns about hearing damage due to the loud noise and vibrations coming from the boats. Not sure which electoral area we are in, maybe C , we are in the main arm of Shuswap Lake.	C
I agree with the noise bylaw. Boat noise needs by regulated.	C
I strongly support the implementation of Noise Bylaw No. 5754. As a family physician, I am aware of the health consequences of noise. The Shuswap area population and tourist activity has grown to the point where further regulation on several fronts will be necessary to protect the healthy and beautiful environments and communities we enjoy. Thank you for your leadership!	C
Very much in favor of this bylaw - there are stretches on eagle bay road in blind bay that can get particularly loud.	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I am writing in support of Proposed Noise Bylaw No.5754. I have been spending summers at our vacation property for the past 28 years. Each year there have been several sleepless nights due to our neighbour's party activities, which often include pointing speakers towards the lake at full blast until 6 or 7 am. I agree that excessive noise in this regard must be regulated.	C
Thank you for your attention to this problem. Noise disrupts the community equilibrium and can lead to disputes between parties that can be harmful. Having this by-law in place will contribute significantly to the safety of our citizens. Please consider giving additional funding to enable enforcement.	C
A noise bylaw is much needed in our area. The major concern I have are the motorcycles with no mufflers, or illegal mufflers. the other concern is the cigarette boats in the bay or even out in the water, that have their exhausts out of the water. With all doors and windows closed due to the smoke, one or two boats in particular are so loud that we thought five water bombers were flying over our home. And we are up from the water in Shuswap Estates. They are also deafening when going by when we are on the water. There is no need for this.	C
I am all for a Noise Bylaw with such an influx of new people in the area it seems to be getting worse. I run a B & B and have a neighbour who likes to Boom his music out.. its all about the bass.....He has been good lately but what can you do if there is not any bylaws...Good idea all for it..How would you handle Jet boats i think that would be tough to enforce.	C
One of the most ridiculous things i have heard from this current Board. Good luck enforcing it, the cost alone will be a complete waste of tax dollars. Focus on more important things like improving options for recycling pickup in rural areas. Is this really that big of an issue in the csrd or just the loudmouth minority wanting municipal regulations living in rural areas and tunnel vision. See what the silent majority really wants.	C
I believe that a tighter restriction should apply to construction. It is far more intrusive than "neighbour" noises. I suggest 6pm to 8am.	C



Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I agree with the bylaw but also think it should include loud noises coming from boats (particularly high performance cigarette boats) at any time of day. It is quite disruptive to hear these boats ripping down the lake at any time of day and I believe most individuals would prefer not having this noise emulating around the whole lake during the day. They scare off the eagles and wildlife, erode the shoreline and break the peace and tranquility on the lake that I believe most people come to enjoy at the Shuswap. Besides the noise, the speed that these boats travel creates safety issues on a heavy populated lake.	C
I think this is a great plan! For years I have had to deal with barking dogs and partying neighbors. I love where I live but would also love to be able to enjoy an open window in the evening to get some airflow during the summer months. Or maybe a peaceful few minutes out on my deck.	C
Yes, this is needed	C
Even though I do have neighbour that is disrespectful and creates excess noise occasionally (shooting firearms in backyard), I do not support this bylaw. I feel that there are times and circumstances that people might have to make noise that others might find offensive and they should not be punished for it. As a whole people need to be more accepting and respectful of others. I feel that the ones complaining are the people who live near the park and are tired of the noise created by dirt bikes etc. Perhaps a bylaw pertaining to that problem	C
I got to admit some few abusers do make me mad but I don't think we need more stupid rules we have enough that are poorly enforced already!! The cost would greatly outweigh any problems we have. Less rules and more respect!!	C
	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>13 August 2017</p> <p>I am submitting the following comments on behalf of the Cedar Heights Community Association, 2316 Lakeview Drive, Blind Bay, BC. Our concern is the noise prohibition timeframe, 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. (paragraph 3.2 (a) and (b) of the proposed noise bylaw).</p> <p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>The Association's mission is to provide a social centre in the South Shuswap where neighbours become friends. Our recreational and social programs promote an active lifestyle and we engage in civic and social issues that benefit our community. Our non-profit Association is managed by a dedicated group of volunteers who operate and maintain our 7.5 acre site which includes a 6,600 square foot two level chalet (the Cedar Heights Community Centre) with commercial kitchen, a par 3 Golf Course, four Pickleball Courts, two Bocce Courts, an Information Kiosk, a covered BBQ area, an outdoor gathering area, a covered area for Canada Post mailboxes and two parking lots. Our facilities are open to Association members, guests and the public and are in use seven days a week.</p>	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>RENTALS</p> <p>In addition to using our facilities to conduct numerous recreational and social programs, we make those facilities available for group and private rentals. This provides an affordable and convenient service and venue to the community for weddings, family reunions, anniversaries, celebrations of life, group BBQs, etc. Rentals are an important source of revenue for the Association and account for approximately 13% (projected \$13,900. in 2017) of our annual income. This significantly helps to keep our membership and program fees low for Association members, guests and the public.</p> <p>Rentals such as weddings, family reunions, anniversaries, etc., are normally held during a spring/summer weekend evening. Even in the absence of a current noise bylaw, we have been very mindful of the issue and have been instructing our renters to stop any loud or disruptive noise after 11:00 p.m. (indoors and outdoors). The proposed CSRD noise bylaw stipulates a 10:00 p.m. timeframe. This early timeframe, especially for weekends, will undoubtedly impact our future viability as a rental venue and consequently our financial bottom line, potentially resulting in membership and program fee increases. If the bylaw is adopted as proposed, this would also put in jeopardy our already secured 2018 rental contracts.</p> <p>RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>To address the situation, I propose that paragraph 3.2 (a) and (b) be amended as follows:</p> <p>Delete: <del>Between the hours of 10 p.m. and 8 a.m.</del></p>	
<p>I have properties in both D &amp; F electoral areas, If this were a vote I would vote NO to a new bylaw, I am getting so sick and tired of people complaining about everything, if you want more bylaws and rules move back to the city, country life is all about noises, being able to do things without be told when, where and what to do ( within reason). I am already disappointed that my recreational property has more bylaws then my home does and I sure do not want all those rules here too.</p>	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I did already make some comments but I neglected to say that I am generally NOT in favor of this bylaw. I do not really see the need for the police or other enforcement agents to be involved in simple noisy occurrences. This is too subjective an area and it would be best if the public could manage these noises themselves - be somewhat more tolerant. When there is physical danger to the public or it is a domestic dispute approaching violence - then those agents already have the power to act.	C
I hope the csrd considers the noise generated from the excessive noisy high-speed boats that have 1000 horsepower or more with straight pipe exhaust we bought a property 3 years ago on eagle bay the high-speed boats always used the other side of the lake. But Last year the mechanic that services these boats moved in two doors up now we have these monsters coming past our property at 150.00mph plus wide open as a salute to their mechanic. we paid a considerable amount of money for our property. We and our neighbors should be able to enjoy our property with out fear of our guest and grand children being in the water when these things come close in to our property. The Okanagan lake baned them because of noise so why cant we	C
I believe a noise bylaw is overdue, and would very much support such a bylaw.	C
I agree that the CSRD should have a Noise Bylaw and ENFORCE that Bylaw. I would also like to see loud boats be included in the Bylaw. There should be a method to control the maximum exhaust noise allowed for boats on Shuswap Lake.	C
I vote no on the proposed bylaw.	C
I think this is ridiculous. We have enough rules in our area. We do not need to be policed in our own backyards. It is a waste of time and our money. If CSRD want to help the people perhaps they could assist in getting proper walk and bike path along the lake roads before someone is killed. Perhaps they should monitor the speed at which vehicles travel, especially Eagle Bay Road. If you are so bothered by noise shut your doors, this is a recreational area not a retirement home.	C
There should be no bylaw implemented!	C
No! Just no. This Bylaw takes away our freedoms. Anything can be a interpreted as disruptive noise. If I'm vacuuming at 10:30, watching my home theater or using my super duper blendmaster 9000 to make an early morning smoothie I'm liable for a fine if my crabbie neighbor complains. No Thanks!!!	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I see no need for proposed noise bylaw enforcement as it will be abused in most cases especially in the rural areas	C
will this include extra loud vehicles, motorcycles etc? who will there be to enforce this in Blind Bay? some motorcycles and cars rattle my windows they are so loud, and I can't hear the TV inside my house with the doors and windows closed..and it is not pleasant to try and enjoy the outside with that kind of noise..we rarely see the RCMP out here so who will be enforcing this here???	C
<p>I bring my small children here every year for the summer. Every year we deal with people partying until the wee hours of the morning. Respectful requests that they have a modicum of respect for their neighbours are usually met with disdainful profanity and an increase in the length and loudness of the noise. I have given up asking people to stop because all it does it make things worse, and it means that our short time here is considerably less enjoyable because of retaliation from our neighbours.</p> <p>It's unfortunate that it's come to this, but respect for others appears to be a thing of the past. I support the bylaw, and hope that people will adhere to it.</p>	C
<p>Replace by: Between the hours of 10 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. from Sunday to Thursday and between the hours of 11 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. on Friday and Saturday</p> <p>This change would not only alleviate our concern but also accommodate private residences who hold weekend evening functions. Additionally, the Shuswap promotes itself as a vacation destination; an overly restrictive noise prohibition timeframe would not be conducive to attracting visitors.</p> <p>Thank you for taking my comments under consideration.</p>	C
No to proposed noise bylaw	C

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<b><u>ELECTORAL AREA 'D'</u></b>	
<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I read about the proposed Bylaw in the news paper today. I was very concerned about it coming into effect. Yes, it is very important to be considerate of neighbouring properties when making noise in the late hours of the night. However, my concerns are more towards how this will effect our rights to farm. I live on Mallory Rd by a dairy. Many of my neighbours and myself keep livestock that cock a doodle doo, baa, moo etc sometimes starting at 4:30am in the summer. If it's rut season for my goats they may holler back and forth before 8:00am. I was hoping the wording of the bylaw could exclude noise from farm animals. This is a farming community and it would be a shame to bring in bylaws that could effect that.</p> <p>Thank-you,</p>	D
<p>In principle, the rule sounds like a good idea. That said, there are people in your areas who make a living and pay taxes who have to make noise to do so. Do farmers who get up at before 8 am (4 or 5am) and need to operate a tractor or vehicle that might be heard by a neighbour have to stop? We work 12-16 hours every day in the summer to provide consumers with the best food products in the world. We have a short summer to do what we need to do, limiting our activities which may be heard by the neighbours to after 8am is not possible nor in the best interest for our livestock.</p>	D
<p>I am very much in favor of the noise bylaw. We have lived on our rural property for almost forty years and do so mainly for the peace and quiet and privacy. However for the past few years an acreage property which is about 1/3 mile away as the crow flies has held gatherings on some weekends where there would be countless recreational vehicles parked by the river on the property and amplified music from a refurbished barn. The music would play til late into the night and even with the windows closed (which isn't pleasant in the warm weather) it was disturbing. Numerous quads and dirt bikes added to the noise during the day. If I wanted this type of environment I would live in the city.</p>	D

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
We are homeowners and seniors in an area of Salmon Arm currently experiencing a development boom. We are DELIGHTED with the prospect of being able to sleep until 8 am for the first time in 2 years! Beeping trucks and hammering just after 7 am is a miserable way to waken. Please pass this amendment!	D
I fully support the 10:00 pm - 7:00 am restriction and realize the complexity of enforcement , given the geography and resources. I'd suggest a process of a first documented warning followed by a fine as a potential consequence.	D
Does this include barking dogs? Neighbours dog barks steady, have talked to them many times. Can't fix stupid.	D
<p>Yes please but only if it includes our area</p> <p>We need this ASAP, generally there are a LOT of parties with hundreds of adolescents drinking, playing VERY loud music and having huge pallet burning fires. From what I understand many of them are coming from Armstrong to party at the McTavish Road, Kelly Main area, where crown land is adjacent to private.</p> <p>However if this bylaw does not apply to our area I would be greatly concerned if passed it will push the parties even more so into our area where crown meets private land. We are already dealing with an uncontrolled issue as mentioned above we prefer not having it escalated</p>	D
<p>I support the bylaw...and it should include dogs barking all night long.</p> <p>Also back-up beepers should be removed from construction equipment at all times in residential areas.</p>	D
I agree with the proposed noise bylaw some people have no respect for others hit them in the pocket book	D

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p> <p>I live just south of the Silver Creek Store and noise from traffic here is extremely bad for country living. We cannot hear our television or speak to our neighbors over the fence due to the noise that comes from the road. Of course this is not steady noise but consistent. I believe if people were doing the speed limit it wouldn't be half as bad. The speed limit changes just outside the store area, and some people are too impatient for others to get their speed back up and pass, flying by all the houses that live so close to the road in this area. Unfortunately, we never see anyone enforcing the speed limit here. Summer is bad with windows open but even in winter with windows closed road noise overpowers our television and we are using speakers.</p> <p>I have heard that the road is set to be upgraded, and the new pavement would probably help. I wonder if there has been any testing as to the amount of traffic that goes through here, or the noise from the traffic on this road, especially on the straight sections. Lowering the speed limit, or enforcing the speed limit might also help.</p> <p>I love living here, but feel that issues surrounding this road have been neglected, not only with traffic and the noise creates, but with the lack of space allowing for bikes and pedestrians.</p> <p>I want to thank you for allowing me to comment.</p>	D
<p>The noise bylaw would be nice for some circumstances, but for dogs? Neighbours need to talk to each other. I have a feeling it may cause more trouble than it's worth. My concerns are the gunshots and explosions around silver creek gravel pit and park, I haven't heard this yet this year due to dry conditions.</p>	D
<p>In my opinion I do not believe this can be in-forced fairly. You may have a disgruntle neighbour and every-time you turn your radio on in the evening he could be phoning the noise bylaw officer. What is acceptable to one may not be acceptable to another. There must be a better way to serve your community then to hand out monetary fines. Please don't forget we our a lake community which in-turn you will have boat and all water craft noise, how will you police that. The people that visit our community also drives our community financially. It is up to the individual to speak to the individual who they think are being noisy, not a noise bylaw officer. I think we are capable of policing our selves.</p>	D



Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I would like to vote no to the noise bylaw. I believe the RCMP are the people to call at present for a disturbance. Some measures need to be mediated with the RCMP and neighbours involved.	D
It would be nice to have some enforcement on dog barking that sometimes goes on all throughout the night. Disrupting sleep for neighbours, and the dog/s must be in some sort of stress. I took my concerns to Salmon Arm office a couple of years ago, and they said Silver Creek was in a sort of grey area between Falkland and S/A and nothing could be done.	D
No! Bylaws such as this are part of the reason there is not any common sense. Go talk to your neighbours. If they won't be quiet, go with a bunch of your neighbours and talk to your neighbours. We have a hard enough time getting the police to show up in Falkland for crime, domestic abuse, emergencies, car accidents. Don't burden them with babysitting and bickering. It's ridiculous to even consider sending someone from Salmon Arm all the way to Falkland to ask someone to turn down their music.	D
We live on 97b across from Mellors store, timberline constrstion starts up their big trucks next door to us every morning at 6 will that effect them cause would be great	D
<p>The proposed bylaw does not take into consideration any special events hosted by the various communities throughout the CSRD. I am specifically concerned about the events hosted by the Falkland Community Association, especially the Annual Falkland Stampede and Dance held during the May long weekend. The dances held on Saturday and Sunday night will violate the bylaw as stated. As well as the Stampede during the day. The Falkland Community Association also hosts various other events throughout the year that may also be in contravention of the proposed bylaw.</p> <p>The bylaw does not allow for home owners to make noise to renovate, repair, do yard work or any other duties required to maintain their property and home.</p> <p>I don't see any reference regarding commercial properties.</p>	D
<b><u>ELECTORAL AREA 'E'</u></b>	
I am very much in favour of having a noise bylaw. Inconsiderate people, particularly in Swansea Point, is a major problem that is limiting many people from enjoying their properties. Please get this bylaw in place ASAP.	E
A noise bylaw is long overdue. Get it done.	E

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
Has area E had many or any complaints about the noise. ? If so I would like to see some written proof of complaints that would warrant such a bylaw for this area	E
<p>I am becoming more disturbed by the loss of our wonderful quiet piece of paradise. . Presently I feel we are on a flight path with the constant noise of a helicopter flying in and out of the property located up on the hill on the dump road. This is a very large helicopter, not only the noise factor is an issue but to me and the surrounding neighbors, Safety is a huge issue. I question how the property owner was ever able to procure a permit for such as this.</p> <p>Another noise issue is the very large, very noisy racing boats on Mara Lake. That too, is a huge safety issue. Small boats on the lake are very much in danger by the speed and size of these boats. There is no such thing as peace and quiet in our tranquil little neighbor hood. There are 2 such boats, the names of the owners are . There is no reason what so ever for them to race up and down our little Mara lake...they need to drive quietly and sensibly to the big Shuswap Lake where they have plenty of room to stunt!!!</p>	E
<b><u>ELECTORAL AREA 'F'</u></b>	
We are concerned and annoyed by loud " Cigar" boats exhaust noise due to " straight piping" That is, bypassing the exhaust being directed under water; thereby causing excessive noise. One individual has been working on his engine often for two hours a day during the past two summers creating so much noise that one cannot converse on their deck or dock. The noise disturbs babies and others wishing to rest.	F
This bylaw fails to take into account the very disturbing noise from the many cigar/ thunder boats on the Shuswap lake. The bylaw purports to control noises that disturbs the peace & quiet that is normally a part of lake living. There is nothing more disturbing than the unnecessary , unmuffled sound of these large racing type boats. Surely your bylaw can be altered that noise from these boats can be muffled.	F

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I would like to propose a variance in the proposed noise bylaw #5754 for Anglemont Estates Golf Course for the months Of June,July and August every year.We must start earlier than the hours in the bylaw in order to accomadate our customers.	F
There will be less confusion with just one time for both situations, i.e. 10 pm - 8 am. Working crew can always arrive earlier to organize before starting at 8.	F
Our bigger problem at St. Ives is unsightly properties that are not only a unwanted tourist distraction but also unsafe and/or a fire hazard so really hope you will address this problem after the noise bylaw is passed.	
10pm till 8am? We're not all retired around the Shuswap you know. Some of us believe it or not work till 8pm in town to afford these homes in these communities. I'm not a fan of the torusists or part time residence partying with no respect till 2am on week days but 10pm is a bit extreme. Friday night live hardly ends at that time. Stop being prudes. 11pm till 7am like the city is more then acceptable. Highly doubt CSRD has the means to inforce this bylaw at these hours and your wasting the RCMP time when they should be catching the drunk drivers on the roads. Thanks for listening. Cheers.	F
Although I can understand that it may be difficult, I would like to see a definition or some examples of 'loud or disruptive sounds' included in the bylaw. Words such as profanity, loud music, noisy excitement around playing games, argumentative conversation, excessive boisterousness, screaming children might be used. I feel that many people have absolutely no idea about what constitutes 'loud' let alone 'disruptive'. Additionally, I would personally comment that in my lakefront area in Lee Creek, in over 35 years of being here I can only recall half a dozen times when we were actually disturbed my noise after 10pm for more than 30 to 60 minutes and that would not have been a continuous noise. We have a mix of owners, seasonal and rentals.	F
People come here to party in the summer and they bring their money with them. A large percentage of working aged people here make their living from these noisy people. I get as annoyed as the next guy at times but it is just for 2 months of the year and they make a significant contribution to the local economy. This is after all a rural community. I choose to live here because there are no bylaws telling me or my neighbours when bedtime is.	F
I absolutely support a noise bylaw! Please!	F

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I would love to see a Noise Bylaw in Area F. Most weekends in the summer months, I must sleep with earplugs because some of my neighbours and some boaters stay up partying and playing loud music until midnight or sometimes even 1 or 2 am. It worries me to have to wear the earplugs this summer, especially, because of the possibility of wildfire evacuations at night. With the earplugs in, I would be unable to hear anyone at my front door.	F
Agree with the proposal as I have been woken at 5AM for local construction/home improvement projects. Barking dogs throughout the day and night. Seems people bring their dogs to the Shuswap to let them exercise their vocal chords. Loud abnoxious people who when asked to keep their noise down respond with "you have 't heard nothing yet" and it deteriorates. Gatherings and parties heard from two streets away. Speeding Quads and dirt bikes at all hours. Yes, I fully support this proposal.	F
So happy to finally see this issue being addressed. Every summer we have endured many sleepless nights.	F
I am in support of the proposed noise bylaw no 5754.	F
Yes! Would definitely like a noise bylaw. There is a great deal of noise on the lake which is very disruptive...many times when a loud boat goes by, it is hard to carry on a conversation either outside or inside the house. I believe these are boats without a muffler. The other noise that is really bothersome are the boats with loud speakers attached to the tower of the boat. The music that blasts out of those is extremely loud and annoying.	F
My wife and I are against the noise bylaw. We both feel it is effort to promote the defeated zoning proposal. We respect our neighbors and our neighbors respect us. We need no more than that.	F
We have enough bylaws on the Shuswap Lake. The loud boats are only on the Lake for eight weeks a year and usually only on weekends. The Houseboat Companies regulate their vessels quite well - noise issues should be be dealt with through them. Loud parties again are only during the summer months - they should be dealt with through the RCMP.	F

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I spend my summers out there and i think this is a great Bylaw and i hope it will be enforced there are lots of problems beside the Anglemont marina,I also think they should start ticketing fast cigarette boats it's gotten out of hand with noise and speed close to the beaches and it's a matter of time before someone gets killed or there is property damage.everyone wants to go to the lake and have fun and come home alive!	F
I would like to disagree with this bylaw. I own two properties in Anglemont and I believe that this bylaw will take money away from the area, we survive on our tourist \$\$, don't put bylaws against people having fun and possibly being noisy. We already have way too many bylaws in Anglemont which takes - away property value (like the bylaw against trailers in Anglemont!) and also these people who like to party and possibly made a little bit too much noise are the same people that will take their money elsewhere if they can not enjoy themselves in Anglemont. With all these bylaws you are killing this area off - soon will not have any stores or services to enjoy.	F
I am in favour of a noise bylaw. However, I would suggest that the time should be 2200hrs (1000 PM) to 0700hrs (700 AM). As a waterfront owner on the North Shuswap, the best time for water skiing is usually around 0700hrs. I avoid starting before that time as I recognize that a ski boat does create some noise that may be disruptive to some individuals. In the past 10 years my neighbours have expressed no concerns about the 0700hrs start time. Generally, my neighbours are up and active by that time.	F
I am in complete agreement with this bylaw. Giving the RCMP the ability to deal with late-night and long weekend noise complaints will hopefully reduce the amount of noise we have to deal with every summer.	F
<b>UNKNOWN ELECTORAL AREA</b>	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>Could this part of the bylaw be used as a basis for a complaint against a business such as a pub, restaurant or a dance held in a community?</p> <p>(b) between the hours of 10 p.m. and 8 a.m., sound from a radio, stereophonic equipment, television, musical instrument, computer or other instrument or other apparatus for the production or amplification of sound, whether produced outdoors or from within a premises, vehicle or vessel, such that the sound can be heard from a neighbouring lot or from another premises in the vicinity;</p> <p>Snow clearing business parking lots is usually done early in the morning, could this violate the bylaw? Should it be included in the exemptions?</p> <p>(b) operating any motor vehicle, machinery or other apparatus or thing during an emergency or for a civic, provincial or federal purpose such as avalanche or rock fall control, snow removal, civil defence exercises, construction, alteration, excavation, maintenance, improvement and repair</p>	
<p>Thank you! So glad to hear that there is noise control being put in! I was awoken to hammers pounding the other day at 6:45am! The guys building down the road started really early that day. Also dealing with people blaring their stereos at 2:00am will be easier if there is a bylaw behind us!</p>	
<p>I think proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 is a welcome addition to the bylaws of the CSRD. Neighborhoods seem to be getting progressively louder and at all hours of the day and night. Hopefully this bylaw will cause some of the people to reconsider their actions and show some courtesy to their neighbors.</p>	
<p>I am hoping this bylaw includes dogs that are causing continued disruptions.</p>	
<p>It would be cheaper to buy the complaining neighbors ear plugs</p>	
<p>I live in the country for a reason and do not believe that we need a noise bylaw ! Waste of time and money to reinforce something like this.</p> <p>I am against a noise bylaw out of town limits</p>	
<p>This needs to be voted on strongly disagree with this proposal this is why we choose to live in rural areas.</p>	
<p>I feel. This is totally uncesry , I live out of town so I don't have to deal with BS. !!!! Such as this , I don't know who the people are that started this but maybe they should move back we're they came from , or to a town that has this bs in place!! , And who the hell came up with the times for this I would personally like to talk to somebody face to face as soon as possible !!!</p>	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
I believe that IF I registered a noise complaint, a violation ticket was issued and the fine amount paid, I should receive the majority of the fine amount as the noise was disturbing myself and the csrd really had nothing to do with the ticket except for the issuance of the ticket, which all they have to do is mail it and wait for a dispute. Of course then I would have to attend court as I was the complainant, not csrd.	
Does this mean I can sleep this winter no jake brakes from 1am to 7am all night long or does toko get off on this to	
Can we use this by-law in the enforcement for the use of "jake" brakes that MANY trucks use when travelling East from 30th St NE down to the intersection of Hgwy 97B. I realise that the RCMP is responsible for this, however, perhaps this noise bylaw might make it more obvious about how many times this law is ignored.	
I live in what I consider a rural area. I own 2.5 acres of land which is a lot of work to maintain. That means mowing grass in the summer. Cutting firewood in the fall and plowing snow in the winter. Hard work which I try to get done before it becomes hot during spring and summer months. And at times when I'm not at work in the winter. This proposed bylaw basically means that I can be ticketed all year round for attempting to maintain my property and heat my home. I am in complete objection to this new bylaw.	

Proposed Noise Bylaw No. 5754 - Public Comments	
	Electoral Area
<p>This bylaw will assist the police in managing other uncivil behaviors that come with elevated noise. In my neighbourhood we have theft, criminal harassment and assault occurring that is flying under the radar. All these behaviors, including public urination and throwing up on the street, public intoxication, among others, come with extremely elevated and often aggressive noise. Repeatedly. Neighbours do not feel safe and several have spoken of moving from this area because of it. To have a noise bylaw will give the RCMP one more tool in the toolbox to assist them in keeping the peace and perhaps curtailing behaviors that occur as elevated noise develops. I have followed the discussion by the board on this noise bylaw and I cannot impress emphatically enough that we need a bylaw to prevent community wide uprising and to keep people from being in harms way. It really is not as much about the noise as it is about managing everything else that comes with it. To not support and pass a noise bylaw would be an egregious error in judgement.</p> <p>I do understand the pressures on staff in responding to complaints under this bylaw and I believe the caveat on the CSRD web page explaining how it will be followed up on is perfect. This statement provides a framework for expectation that the public can understand. There is a point of diminishing returns in keeping a civil society. With regards to noise we have absolutely reached that in this case. I whole heartedly support a noise bylaw in Area C and I support it being passed expeditiously. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.</p>	